Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/517,821	INAZAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JIE YANG	1793
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>JIE YANG</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Lisa A. Kilday</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 August 2008	Time: <u>10 am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: claim 5		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner hope the applicants can darify the instant claim 5, because claim 5 claims: fine metal powders having an average particle diameter of not more than 400 nm, while Fig.1 of the instant specification and Fig 1/table 1 of 132 Affidavits show the average particle diameter could be over 400 nm when concentration of trivalent titanium ions over 65 %. No conclusion has been reached.

/Jie Yang/